UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -57)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,018 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7—day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Oct 04, 2018

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-57 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	DIV.	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	CASE CAPTION
FLORIDA I	MIDDLE		
FLM	6	18-01554	City of Palm Bay v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
FLM	8	18-02236	Sarasota County Public Hospital District v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
INDIANA NORTHERN			
INN	1	18-00290	Portland City of et al v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
KANSAS			
KS	2	18-02497	Neosho County, Kansas, Board of Commissioners v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
KENTUCKY EASTERN			
KYE	5	18-00536	The Fiscal Court of Estill County v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
KYE	7	18-00107	Letcher County Fiscal Court v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
LOUISIANA EASTERN			
LAE	2	18-08687	St. Tammany Parish Government v. Purdue Pharma LP et al Opposed 10/3/18
MASSACHUSETTS			
MA	4	18-11958	City of Worcester v. Purdue Pharma LP, et al. Opposed 10/3/18
MICHIGAN EASTERN			
MIE	2	18-12938	CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF CANTON et al v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P. et al
MIE	4	18-12950	CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF CLINTON, MICHIGAN v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P. et al

NEW YORK WESTERN

NYW 1 18-01018 A.M.H. v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al

Opposed 10/2/18

IJ	\mathbf{T}_{i}	A1	Н
\sim	1 4		

UT	2	18-00719	Lopez v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
----	---	----------	---------------------------------

VIRGINIA EASTERN

VAE 2 18–00485 City of Virginia Beach, et al v. Ameriso Drug Corporation, et al	ırceBergen
---	------------

WASHINGTON EASTERN

WAE	2	10_00205	Chalan Country y Durdua Dharma I D at al
WAE		18-00285	Chelan County v. Purdue Pharma LP et al

WEST VIRGINIA SOUTHERN

WVS	2	18-01284	The Town of Clendenin, West Virginia v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
WVS	2	18-01285	The City of Montgomery, West Virginia v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
WVS	2	18-01286	The Town of Sophia, West Virginia v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
WVS	2	18-01287	The Town of Whitesville, West Virginia v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
WVS	2	18-01290	The Gilmer County Commission v. Cardinal Health, Inc. et al